Status of Gravitational Wave Detection

Adalberto Giazotto INFN Pisa and EGO



Now there are about 6 similar systems, and the "double pulsar" PSR J0737-3039 is already overtaking 1913 in precision. All agree with GR



increases S/N.

4) Big-Bang Cosmological BKG (CB): Since α_{GRAV} =10⁻³⁹ Big-Bang matter is mainly transparent to GW. In the Virgo bandwidth we may observe GW emitted after 10⁻²⁴s from time zero.

The Detection of Gravitational Waves

F.A.E.Pirani in 1956 first proposed to measure Riemann Tensor by measuring relative acceleration of two freely falling masses. If A and B are freely falling particles, their separation $\xi^{\alpha} = (x_A - x_B)^{\alpha}$ satisfies the Geodesic Deviation equation:

<u>The receiver is a device measuring space-time</u> <u>curvature</u> i.e. the relative acceleration of two freely falling masses or, equivalently, <u>their</u> <u>relative displacement</u>.

Early Detectors: Room Temperature Resonant Bars



Cryogenic Bar Detectors



Cryogenic Bar Detectors



IGEC the Resonant Bar Detectors network International Gravitational Event Collaboration established 1997 in Perth

The First GW Detector Network

Cryogenic Bar Detectors Sensitivity, Stability& Duty Cycle



IGEC-1 (1997-2000) 29 days of four-fold coinc. 178 days of three-fold coinc. 713 days of two-fold coinc. Followed by a series of upgrades resumed operations EXPLORER in 2000 AURIGA in 2003 NAUTILUS in 2003 ALLEGRO in 2004 NIOBE ceased operation IGEC-2 (2005--)

First data analyzed covered May-November 2005 when no other observatory was operating



Massimo Visco on behalf of the IGEC2 Collaboration Rencontres de Moriond Gravitational Waves and Experimental Gravity March 11-18, 2007 La Thuile, Val d'Aosta, Italy

Bar Detectors situation at Present

NIOBE (Perth) stopped operation and did not join IGEC-2

ALLEGRO (LSU) stopped operation in 2007

In 2006 INFN stopped R&D on Spherical Detectors and left running Auriga, Nautilus and Explorer on an annual evaluation. It is likely that at Virgo+ starting (6/2009) they will be shut down.

INFN left open R&D on DUAL M.Cerdonio et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 031101 (2001) DUAL is a wide band high frequency detector with high bandwidth (5 kHz) and reduced Back Action.



3050

3100



The only existing Spherical Detector in commissionig phase is Minigrail (G. Frossati et al.) (Kamerlingh Onnes Laboratory , Leiden University, Nd)

INTERFEROMETRIC DETECTORS

Large L → High sensitivity Very Large Bandwidth 10-10000 Hz



Interferometer Noises









Global network of Detectors



Coherent Analysis: why?

-Sensitivity increase

- -Source direction determination from time of flight differences
- -Polarizations measurement
- -Test of GW Theory and GW Physical properties

Astrophysical targets

- Far Universe expansion rate Measurement

-GW energy density in the Universe

-Knowledge of Universe at times close to Planck's time



In 1999, TAMA is the first large ITF to start observations, in 2001 attained the world best sensitivity and made continuous observation more than 1000 hr with the highest sensitivity. Joint observations with LIGO/GEO during DT7-DT9

Best sensitivity : $h = 1.710^{-21} \frac{1}{\sqrt{Hz}} @ 1 KHz$

Recycling gain of 4.5







Virgo Sensitivity, Duty Cycle and Stability First 5 weeks (started 18/5/2007) of Coincidence with LIGO/GEO



One Vacuum Tube with 2 ITF: 4 km and 2 km



LIGO

Present LIGO Sensitivity

Strain Sensitivity for the LIGO Hanford 4km Interferometer



4 km Arms





GW DETECTION STATUS

IGEC: Network of Bar Detectors Started in 1997 (Auriga, Explorer, Nautilus, Allegro) for impulsive GW detection.

No evidence of a significant GW signal

LIGO-GEO600: GW from Pulsar (28 known)- $\varepsilon < 10-5 - 10-6$ (no mountains > 10 cm)- \tilde{h} upper limits: 2.10⁻²⁴@200Hz, 5.10⁻²⁴@400Hz, 10⁻²³@1KHz

No evidence of a significant GW signal

LIGO,GEO600,TAMA: Up. lim.: Coalescing NS-NS <1 event/(gal.year) 2 < M₀ < 6 Coalescing BH-BH <1 event/(gal.year) 10 < M₀ <80 No evidence of a significant GW signal

LIGO: Stockastic BKG

Virgo, LIGO, GEO 600: May 18th 2007 started common data taking and coherent analysis; main target impulsive events ???

CLIO: The First Cryogenic Interferometer for GW Detection

Construction of CLIO

"Status of TAMA 300" N.Kanda & the TAMA collab.

(Data taking starts 2014) configuration

Parameter	LIGO	Advanced LIGO
Input Laser Power	10 W	180 W
Mirror Mass	10 kg	40 kg
Interferometer Topology	Power-recycled Fabry-Perot arm cavity Michelson	Dual-recycled Fabry-Perot arm cavity Michelson
GW Readout Method	RF heterodyne	DC homodyne
Optimal Strain Sensitivity	3 x 10 ⁻²³ / rHz	Tunable, better than 5 x 10 ⁻²⁴ / rHz
Seismic Isolation	$f_{low} \sim 50 \text{ Hz}$	$f_{low} \sim 10 \text{ Hz}$
Mirror Suspensions	Single Pendulum	Quadruple pendulum

GEO 600

- Emphasize high frequencies--length less important
- Pioneer advanced techniques for other large interferometers
- Tuned signal recycling and squeezing?

LCGT: A CRYOGENIC INTERFEROMETER

David Coward Rencontres de Moriond

AIGO

- Project prospectus completed 2006
- AIGO concept plan submitted to Minister for Science Oct 2006
- AIGO International Advisory Committee appointed

- AIGO provides strong science benefits e.g. host galaxy localization
- 5km baseline sensitive to inspirals in the range ~ 250Mpc
- Australian Consortium welcomes new partners in this project

Interferometers Under Far Away Approval

LISA

- ESA & NASA have exchanged letters of agreement.
- Launch 2013, observing 2014+.
- Mission duration up to 10 yrs.
 LISA Pathfinder technology demonstrator (ESA: 2008)

5706

LISA Sensitivity

ET Baseline Concept • Underground location - Reduce seismic noise - Reduce gravity gradient noise Low frequency suspensions Cryogenic & Squeezed • Overall beam tube length ~ 20km • Possibly different geometry

0

 $\mathbf{0}$

Einstein Telescope Configuration

1)ET will be the only surviving project. Virgo and LIGO will not have enough sensitivity for making a Network with ET

2)ET will be formed by at least 4 interferometers, well spaced. For solving the "Inverse Problem" 4 variables have to be measured:2 angles and 2 polarizations.

3)Possibly the ET network should have highly spaced interferometers. A wise decision could be in the same spirit as ESO whose telescopes are not in Europe. ET network should be scattered in best sites for better solving the "Inverse Problem"

Einstein Gravitational-Wave Telescope (ET)

Community

Some Final Considerations

- Bar detectors have grown up, by means of a fantastic technological effort, to enormous and unexpected sensitivity and operation stability. Their operation was so good as to create the first GW network.
- The big steps forward in the last decade has been in the Interferometers technology. They reached design sensitivity above 100 Hz and stability is so good (unespectedly) that we have created an efficient network. Advanced LIGO and Virgo will open the very low frequency region.
- Class Einstein, after what we have lorned by the big machine, seems feasable with a very high probability of success. 1 Day of data of ET is equivalent to 10⁶ days of data taking with Virgo or LIGO. This seems to be the right way to go for starting GW astronomy.

means of which we may start a new Astronomy: GW Astronomy.

Further evidences

PSR J0737-3039: The binary Neutron Star system PSR J0737-3039 was discovered in 2003. <u>The system is doing exactly what GR theory predicts.</u>

T. Strohmayer:

White Dwarf very tight Binary System (80000 km). The system's orbital period is 321.5 seconds and is decreasing by 1.2 milliseconds every year <u>in complete agreement with GR theory</u>

The Generation of GW

3) Periodic Sources: 10⁹ Galactic rotating Neutron Stars emitting in the Hz regionVery Low Asimmetry: Very "Small" h but very long Integration Time

Affected by Earth Doppler shift $e^{i\omega t} \Rightarrow e^{i\omega(t-\vec{n}\cdot\vec{R}/c)}$ n is the NS direction R the Earth radius

Periodic sources: upper limits

This is the Hanford all sky upper limit for periodic sources strain (95% confidence level), obtained for the Hanford observatory. The plot compares several search method, documented in the S4 paper LIGO-P060010-05-Z

Periodic sources: upper limit

The same of the previous figure, for the Livingston observatory.

Upper limits: bursts

Exclusion diagrams (rate limit at 90% confidence level, as a function of signal amplitude) for sine-Gaussian simulated waveforms for the S4 analysis compared to the S1 and S2 analyses (the S3 analysis did not state a rate limit). These curves incorporate conservative systematic uncertainties from the fits to the efficiency curves and from the interferometer response calibration. The 849 Hz curve labeled "LIGO-TAMA" is from the joint burst search using LIGO S2 with TAMA DT8 data [8], which included data subsets with different combinations of operating detectors with a total observation time of 19.7 days and thereby achieved a lower rate limit. The hrss sensitivity of the LIGO-TAMA search was nearly constant for sine-Gaussians over the frequency range 700–1600 Hz.

Upper limit: inspirals

Upper limits on the binary inspiral coalescence rate per year and per L10 as a function of total mass of the binary, for Primordial Black Hole binaries. The darker area shows the excluded region after accounting for marginalization over estimated systematic errors. The lighter area shows the additional excluded region if systematic errors are ignored.

Upper limits: inspirals

Same as the previous figure for Binary Neutron Stars

Upper limits: inspirals

Same as the previous figure for Binary Black Holes

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}(f) = \frac{f}{\rho_c} \frac{d\rho_{\rm GW}}{df}$$

Upper bounds: stochastic backgorund

90% Upper Limit on GW spectrum at 100 Hz (see the model on the right) as a function of α for S3 H1L1 and S4 H1L1+H2L1 combined, and expected final sensitivities of LIGO H1L1 and H1H2 pairs, assuming LIGO design sensitivity and one year of exposure.

Model:

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}(f) = \Omega_{\alpha} \left(\frac{f}{100 \text{ Hz}} \right)^{\alpha}$$

3)Taylor and Hulse demonstrated, indirecly, that GW exist and their rate of emission follows "EXACTLY" General Relativity predictions

The Detection of Gravitational Waves

F.A.E.Pirani in 1956 first proposed to measure Riemann Tensor by measuring relative acceleration of two freely falling masses. If A and B are freely falling particles, their separation $\xi^{\alpha} = (x_A - x_B)^{\alpha}$ satisfies the Geodesic Deviation equation:

<u>The receiver is a device measuring space-time curvature</u> i.e. the relative acceleration of two freely falling masses or <u>their relative</u> <u>displacement</u>.

Cryogenic Bar Detectors

Current sensitivity of CLIO

1970

The first Interferometer for GW detection was built by Robert Forward (Hughes Lab)

Break Through : 1981

The 10 m Glasgow and 40 m CALTEC Fabry Perot Interferometers

LCGT: A CRYOGENIC INTERFEROMETER

