# Neutrino mass constraint from CMB and its degeneracy with other cosmological parameters

## Kazuhide Ichikawa (Institute for Cosmic Ray Research)

KI, M. Fukugita & M. Kawasaki, PRD71 043001 (2005) M. Fukugita, KI, M. Kawasaki & O. Lahav, PRD74 027302 (2006)

TAUP 2007, Sendai, Sept. 2007

#### Introduction

## Tritium beta decay experiments: $m_{\nu_e} < 2 \text{ eV}$ Cosmological bounds:

Spergel et al. [WMAP collaboration]	WMAP1 +2dFGRS	$m_{\nu} < 0.2 \text{ eV}$
Tegmark et al. [SDSS collaboration]	WMAP1 +SDSS (main sample)	$m_{ u} < 0.6 \ { m eV}$ (3.8 eV for WMAP only)
Ichikawa, Fukugita & Kawasaki	WMAP1	$m_{\nu} < 0.7 \text{ eV}$

Our results are confirmed by : Hannestad, hep-ph/0602058 Lesgourgues & Pastor, astro-ph/0603494

### Effect of neutrino masses on CMB power spectrum



I. Horizontal shift (to smaller multipoles)

 $m_
u\uparrow$  makes the distance to the last scattering surface smaller.



But this effect is absorbed by decreasing the Hubble constant.

[Only for  $m_{\nu} \gtrsim 0.6 \text{ eV}$  ]

2. Relative enhancement of 2nd or higher peaks w.r.t 1st peak

The epoch of recombination  $z_{rec} \sim 1088 \sim 0.3 \text{ eV}$ 



Massive neutrinos become nonrelativistic before the epoch of recombination if  $m_{\nu} \gtrsim 0.6 \text{ eV}$ 

Characteristic signals imprinted in acoustic peaks.



We assume flat Lambda CDM model (6 parameters) + neutrino mass

> baryon density CDM density Hubble constant amplitude of fluctuation epoch of reionization a slope for the scalar perturbation

Hubble constant (expansion rate at present):  $H_0$  $H_0 = 100 h \text{km/s/Mpc}$   $\chi^2$  analysis

(We minimised over 6 other LCDM cosmological parameters)

KI, Fukugita & Kawasaki, PRD71 043001 (2005) Fukugita, KI, Kawasaki & Lahav, PRD74 027302 (2006)



WMAP3 limit (95%CL):  $m_{\nu} < 0.7 \text{ eV}$ 

Not improved from WMAP1 limit.



The polarization data does not improve neutrino mass constraint much.



Again, 1st and 2nd peaks in CMBTT power spectrum already provide sufficient information to constrain neutrino mass.

Conclusion for WMAP alone limit

We follow up our previous study on constraining neutrino masses from WMAP 1st year data. We obtain 3rd year data limit (95% CL):  $m_{\nu} < 0.7 \text{ eV}$ , not improved from the previous one as anticipated.

This limit is quite robust:

I) Obtained from CMB data of WMAP, the cleanest cosmological data.

2) Using only single data set and avoiding to combine different data sets with different systematic errors.
3) Does not suffer from not-well-controlled issues of non-linearity or biasing which appear in e.g. galaxy clustering analysis.

We have to combine other data sets in order to push the limit lower. But proper understanding of systematic errors involved in them is required.







# Assume h is measured with a total uncertainty of 5%



#### Conclusion

- WMAP3 limit (95%CL) :  $m_{\nu} < 0.7 \text{ eV}$
- laces Degeneracy between  $m_{
  u}$  and  $H_0$  .

Uncertainty of  $\mathcal{M}_{\nu}$  is one of the largest systematic errors for estimating cosmological parameters from CMB.

If neutrino mass is detected to be  $m_{\nu}$  > 0.3 eV, it would be more consistent with the people claiming a small Hubble constant < 65.